Scoring Element	Points Available	Methodology
Strategic Alignment	250	Each project recieces a strategic alignment score for each department goal based on the benefit it will bring to towards reaching that goal. A significant driect impact is worth nine points, a medium or secondary impact is worth three points and a minor or tangential benefit will score one point. The score for each goal is then weighted aginst the goal priority (goal 1 is weighted at 1.2, goal 7 at 0.7) This returns a resulting number from 0 - 63. However, since maximum scores are unrealistic, the 250 points for strategic alignment are awarded based on a 0-25 scale, with a score of 15 receiving 150 points. Note: If a project scores more than 25, it will receive more than 250 points.
Project Cost	250	The total DOR Cost (includes staff and budget) is divided by the strategic alignmet score to produce a cost per strategic alignmet benhcmark. This is done to represent cost directly related to benefit (rather than ROI as would be the case in most commercial businesses.) This is then prorated against teh 250 points with a cost per strategic alignment of 0 receiving all 250 points, and a cost of \$135,000 (or above)per strategic alignment receiving 0 points.
Risk	250	Projets with higher risk need a high operating priority in order to be successful. Higher risk projects then recevie a higher risk score. Risk is a negative factor when determingin project acceptance, but once accepted these projects need more attention to be successful. Risk is broken into five categories - (1) Complexity (2) Size (3) Legal (4) Technology (5) Business Impact with up to fifty points being assigned within each category.
Leadership Priority	250	A = 250 points B = 150 points C = 50 points D = 0 points
Potential Total	1000	